



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| 09/577,489 | 05/25/2000 | Ray W. Wood | 029318/0596 | 7761 |
| 31049 | 7590 | 09/21/2012 | EXAMINER | |
| Elan Drug Delivery, Inc. c/o Foley & Lardner 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20007-5109 | | | | ALSTRUM ACEVEDO, JAMES HENRY |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1616 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | |
| | | | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/21/2012 | |
| | | | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/577,489 | WOOD ET AL. | |
| | Examiner JAMES H. ALSTRUM-ACEVEDO | Art Unit 1616 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JAMES H. ALSTRUM-ACEVEDO. (3) _____.

(2) Ms. Michele M. Simkin, Esq. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2012.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner called Ms. Simkin and left her a voice mail message inquiring about the status of the instant application and to verify if Applicants had filed a reply to the office action mailed on February 21, 2012. The Examiner's call to date has not been returned. The instant application is abandoned, because no reply has been received by the statutory deadline.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

| | |
|---|--|
| /JAMES H. ALSTRUM-ACEVEDO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616 | |
|---|--|